arXiv:1610.03086v1 [q-fin.MF] 10 Oct 2016

OPTION PRICING WITH LEGENDRE POLYNOMIALS

JULIEN HOK

Abstract. Here we develop an option pricing method based on Legendre series expansion of the density function. The key insight, relying on the close relation of the characteristic function with the series coefficients, allows to recover the density function rapidly and accurately. Approximations formulas for pricing European type option are derived and a robust, stable algorithm for its implementation is proposed. An error analysis on the option pricing provides an estimate for the rate of convergence, which depends essentially on the smoothness of the density function and not on the payoff function. The numerical experiments show exponential convergence.

1. Introduction

In option pricing, Feynman-Kac formula [29] establishes a link between the conditional expectation of the value of a contract payoff function under the risk-neutral measure and the solution of a partial differential equation. In the research areas covered by this theorem, various numerical pricing techniques can be developed. Existing numerical methods can be classified into three major groups: partial integro-differential equations methods, Monte Carlo simulations and numerical integration methods. Each of them has its advantages and disadvantages for different financial models and specific applications. In this paper, we concentrate on the last group for the pricing of European type option.

The point-of-departure for pricing European option with numerical integration techniques is the risk-neutral valuation formula:

$$V(x,t_0=0)=e^{-rT}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[V(S_T,T)|S_0=x]=e^{-rT}\int_{\mathbb{R}}V(y,T)\tilde{f}(y|x)dy \quad (1.1)$$

with $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the expectation operator under risk-neutral measure $\mathbb{Q}$, S_t the underlying asset price at t and T the option maturity. $V(x,t)$ denotes the option value at t with x the state variable. $\tilde{f}(y|x)$ is the probability density function of S_T given $S_0=x$ and r the risk-free interest rate.

Unfortunately, for many relevant pricing processes, their probability densities are usually unknown. On the other hand, the Fourier transform of these densities, i.e, the characteristic functions, are often available. For instance, from the Levy-Khinchine theorem [13] the characteristic functions of Levy processes are known. Or characteristic functions have been derived in the pure diffusion context with stochastic volatility [24] and with stochastic interest

Date: October 12, 2016.

Key words and phrases. Legendre polynomials, Fourier series, characteristic function, European option pricing.

We thank Dr. Tat-Lung (Ron) Chan for fruitful discussions.

rates [6]. Hence, the Fourier transform methods for option pricing have been naturally considered by many authors (see [37] and references therein). Subsequently, some new numerical methods are proposed. For example, The QUAD method was introduced by Andricopoulos et al [2], the CONV method was presented by Lord et al [41]. A fast Hilbert transform approach was considered by Feng and Linetsky [19]. The highly efficient COS technique, based on Fourier-cosine series expansion of the density function, was proposed by Fang and Oosterlee [18] and has generated other developments by Hurn et al [1] or by Ding et al [17]. Recently, Necula et al [10] have employed the modified Gram-Charlier series expansion, known as the Gauss-Hermite expansion, for the density function and obtained a closed form pricing formula for European option.

In this paper, we propose to expand the probability density function $\tilde{f}(y)$ using Legendre polynomials when the characteristic function is known. Indeed the classical Legendre series offers the simplest method of representing a function using polynomial expansion means [20]. Also the recent analysis by Cohen and Tan [12] shows Legendre polynomial approximation yields an error at least an order of magnitude smaller than the analogous Taylor series approximation and the authors strongly suggest that Legendre expansions, instead of Taylor expansions, should be used when global accuracy is important. To recover rapidly and accurately the density function, our key insight relies on the close relation of the characteristic function with the series coefficients of the Legendre polynomials expansion of the density function. Polynomials are easy to manipulate in general and we compute simply the European option pricing formula by integrating the payoff against Legendre polynomial functions.

Adrien Marie Legendre, a French mathematician who discovered the famous polynomials, was never aware of that how much it will be used in developing mathematics. This Legendre polynomial is being used by mathematicians and engineers for variety of mathematical and numerical solutions. For example, in physics, Legendre and Associate Legendre polynomials are widely used in the determination of wave functions of electrons in the orbits of an atom [16, 25] and in the determination of potential functions in the spherically symmetric geometry [28]. In numerical analysis, Legendre polynomials are used to efficiently calculate numerical integrations by Gaussian quadrature method [4].

Legendre polynomials is not widely used in quantitative finance but not new. For example, Pulch et al [39] consider the fair price of options as the expected value of a random field where the input volatility parameter is written as a linear function of uniform random variable. The Polynomial chaos theory using Legendre polynomial yields an efficient approach for calculating the required fair price. Or in [27], the authors develop arbitrage free interest rate models for a family of term structures parametrized by linear combinations of Legendre polynomials. Each polynomial provides a clear interpretation in terms of the type of movements that they generate for the term structure (see also [40] and [3]).

This paper is structured as follows. In section 2, we develop the series expansion of the density function using Legendre polynomials. Based on this, we derive, in section 3, the formulas for pricing European type options and propose a robust and stable procedure for the implementation. An error analysis

is presented in section 4. Some numerical experiments are given in section 5. The final section concludes.

2. Series expansion of density function with Legendre polynomials

The objective is to estimate the density function $\tilde{f}(y)$ using Legendre polynomials given its characteristic function.

2.1. Generalized Fourier Series-Legendre Polynomials.

The Legendre polynomials $(P_n(t))_{n\geq 0}$ form a complete basis over the interval $[-1, 1]$ and can be defined, in term of power series, by

$$P_n(t) = \frac{1}{2^n}\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}(-1)^k C_n^k C_{2n-2k}^n t^{n-2k}. \tag{2.1}$$

with $\lfloor r \rfloor$ the floor function and $C_n^k = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$ the binomial coefficients [33, 15].

The Legendre basis polynomials can be generalized to cover an arbitrary interval $[a, b]$ by the change of variable $t = \frac{(2x-(a+b))}{(b-a)}$ which leads to the following

$$P_n(x) = \frac{1}{2^n}\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}(-1)^k C_n^k C_{2n-2k}^n \left[\frac{(2x-(a+b))}{(b-a)}\right]^{n-2k}. \tag{2.2}$$

Sturm-Liouiville theory guarantees the orthogonality of Legendre polynomials and it also shows that we can represent functions on $[a, b]$ as a linear combination of Legendre Polynomials. Thus for suitable $f(x)$ on $[a, b]$ we have the generalized Fourier series

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n P_n\left(\frac{2x-(a+b)}{b-a}\right) \tag{2.3}$$

where $\{A_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a set of coefficients. To find each An, we use the orthogonality relation

$$\int_a^b P_n\left(\frac{2x-(a+b)}{b-a}\right) P_m\left(\frac{2x-(a+b)}{b-a}\right) dx = \delta_{n=m}\frac{(b-a)}{2m+1} \tag{2.4}$$

and then multiply both sides of expression (2.3) by $P_m\left(\frac{2x-(a+b)}{b-a}\right)$ and integrate to obtain

$$\int_a^b f(x) P_m\left(\frac{2x-(a+b)}{b-a}\right) dx = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \int_a^b P_n\left(\frac{2x-(a+b)}{b-a}\right) P_m\left(\frac{2x-(a+b)}{b-a}\right) dx \tag{2.5}$$

$$= (b-a)\frac{A_m}{2m+1}. \tag{2.6}$$

so that

$$A_n = \frac{2n+1}{b-a}\int_a^b f(x)P_n\left(\frac{2x-(a+b)}{b-a}\right)dx \tag{2.7}$$

2.2. **Approximate risk-netural probability density function using standard Fourier series.** we briefly revise the definition of complex Fourier series [42, 15]. For a suitable function $f(t)$ supported on $[-\pi,\pi]$, the complex Fourier series representation is given by

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} B_k e^{ikt}, \ \ with \ \ B_k = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t)e^{-ikt}dt. \tag{2.8}$$

If we extend the series to support function with a finite range of $[a,b]$, the complex Fourier series expansion can be defined as:

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} B_k e^{i(\frac{2\pi}{b-a}x)k}, \ \ with \ \ B_k = \frac{1}{b-a}\int_a^b f(x)e^{-ik(\frac{2\pi}{b-a}x)}dx. \tag{2.9}$$

The formula is achieved through use change of variables:

$$x = \frac{b-a}{2\pi}t + \frac{a+b}{2} \ or \ t = \frac{2\pi}{b-a}x - \frac{\pi(a+b)}{b-a} \tag{2.10}$$

Being given a probability density function $\tilde{f}(x)$ and its characteristic function $\varphi(u)$, these two functions form a Fourier pair:

$$\varphi(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iux}f(x)dx \tag{2.11}$$

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-iux}\varphi(u)du. \tag{2.12}$$

A necessary condition for $\tilde{f}(x)$ to be a probability density function is that $\tilde{f}(x) \to 0$ as $\mid x \mid \to \infty$, and therefore there is guaranteed to be an interval $[a,b]$ such that for all $x \in (-\infty,a]\cup[b,\infty)$ it can be asserted that $\tilde{f}(x) < \epsilon$ for any arbitrary small positive ϵ.

Let's consider $f(x)$ as the restriction of $\tilde{f}(x)$ on $[a,b]$. We shall discuss the appropriate choice of $[a,b]$ in section 5.1.

From (2.3) and (2.9), $f(x)$ can be expressed either in a complex Fourier series or a Legendre polynomials series. As the aim of this paper is to apply Legendre polynomials for a pricing formula, we show how one can precisely approximate $f(x)$ with Legendre series and formulate the coefficients in the expansion knowing the characteristic function. To achieve this, we use (2.9) in (2.7) and assume we can change the order of integration to write

$$A_n = \frac{2n+1}{b-a} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} B_k \int_a^b P_n\left(\frac{2x-(a+b)}{b-a}\right) e^{i2\pi(\frac{xk}{b-a})} dx \tag{2.13}$$

Through change of variables, $x = \frac{b-a}{2}t + \frac{a+b}{2}$, and a closed-form expression for

$$\int_{-1}^{1} P_n(x) e^{i\lambda x} dx = i^n \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}} J_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda),\ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \tag{2.14}$$

with $J_\nu(z)$ Bessel function of first kind (see [21] p.217 and p.456), it comes

$$\int_a^b P_n\left(\frac{2x-(a+b)}{b-a}\right) e^{i2\pi(\frac{xk}{b-a})} dx = \begin{cases} i^n \frac{(b-a)}{2} e^{\frac{i\pi k(a+b)}{b-a}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{k}} J_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(\pi k),\ k \neq 0, \\ (b-a)\delta_n = 0,\ k = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.15}$$

and so

$$A_n = \frac{2n+1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\sum_{k \neq 0} B_k i^n e^{\frac{i\pi k(a+b)}{b-a}} \frac{J_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(\pi k)}{\sqrt{k}} + B_0 \sqrt{2} \delta_{n=0} \right] \tag{2.16}$$

Knowing the characteristic function, we write

$$B_k = \widetilde{B}_k - R_k \tag{2.17}$$

with

$$\widetilde{B}_k := \frac{1}{b-a} \varphi\left(\frac{-2k\pi}{b-a}\right) \tag{2.18}$$

and

$$R_k := \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{\mathbb{R}-[a,b]} \widetilde{f}(x) e^{-i2\pi(\frac{xk}{b-a})} dx. \tag{2.19}$$

R_k is expected to be small and can be bound as

$$\mid R_k \mid \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{a} \widetilde{f}(x) dx + \int_b^{+\infty} \widetilde{f}(x) dx \right] = \frac{1}{b-a} \left[\widetilde{F}(a) + 1 - \widetilde{F}(a) \right]. \tag{2.20}$$

where $\widetilde{F}(x)$ is the cumulative distribution function of $\widetilde{f}(x)$.

Finally, using (2.17), A_n can be written as

$$A_n = \frac{2n+1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\sum_{k \neq 0} \widetilde{B}_k i^n e^{\frac{i\pi k(a+b)}{b-a}} \frac{J_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(\pi k)}{\sqrt{k}} + \widetilde{B}_0 \sqrt{2} \delta_{n=0} \right] + R_{A_n} \tag{2.21}$$

with

$$R_{A_n} = -\frac{2n+1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\sum_{k\neq 0} R_k i^n e^{\frac{i\pi k(a+b)}{b-a}} \frac{J_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(\pi k)}{\sqrt{k}} + R_0\sqrt{2}\delta_{n=0}\right]. \tag{2.22}$$

Before summarising the result of the development above in the next theorem, we introduce a couple of definitions and notation taken from [42]: a function $f(x)$ is said to be *piecewise smooth* on the interval $[a,b]$ if either $f(x)$ and its derivative are both continuous on $[a,b]$, or they have only a finite number of *jump discontinuities* on $[a,b]$. If x_0 is a point of discontinuity of a function $f(x)$ and if the right-hand and left-hand limits exist, x_0 is said to be a point of *jump discontinuity*. We set

$$f_k^n(x) = B_k P_n\left(\frac{2x-(a+b)}{b-a}\right) e^{i2\pi(\frac{xk}{b-a})},\ x\in[a,b],\ k\in\mathbb{Z},\ n\in N. \tag{2.23}$$

and consider, for a given $n\in\mathbb{N}$, the series of functions

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} f_k^n(x). \tag{2.24}$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let's denote by $f(x)$, the restriction of the probability density function $\tilde{f}(x)$ on $[a,b]$ large enough such that $f(a)=f(b)$ and $\varphi(x)$ the characteristic function associated to $\tilde{f}(x)$. Assume that $f(x)$ is a continuous piecewise smooth function and that the series (2.24) is uniformly convergence on $\in[a,b]$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Then we have the following Legendre series representation*

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n P_n\left(\frac{2x-(a+b)}{b-a}\right) \tag{2.25}$$

with A_n given in (2.21).

Proof. $f(x)$, being continuous and piecewise smooth on $[a,b]$, can be written as in (2.3) and (2.9) (see e.g [42] and [33]). The uniform convergence of the series (2.24) allows to interchange the order of integration in the expression of A_n in (2.13).

□

Remark 2.2. The representation (2.25) allows to retrieve the density function accurately when the characteristic function $\varphi(x)$ is known by truncating the infinite sums in n of (2.25) and in k of (2.21) and neglecting the terms R_{A_n} (see section 5 for illustrations).

3. A new computational method for option pricing

3.1. **Option pricing.** Here, we show how to evaluate European style options using the asymptotic expansion of the density function obtained previously. We denote the log-asset prices by

$$x := ln\left(\frac{S_0}{K}\right)\ and\ y := ln\left(\frac{S_T}{K}\right), \tag{3.1}$$

with S_t the underlying price at time t and K the strike price. The payoff for European options, in log-asset price, reads

$$V(y,T) = [\alpha.K(e^y - 1)]^+ \text{ with } \alpha = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for a call,} \\ -1 & \text{for a put,} \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$V(y,T) = 1_{\alpha y \geq 0} \text{ with } \alpha = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for a digital call,} \\ -1 & \text{for a digital put,} \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

In the following, we focus on the pricing formula for European call option and European digital call option. The European put option and European digital put option prices can be deduced by parity. The valuation for other contracts like asset-or-nothing options, gap options or standard power options [23] can be computed similarly.

With (1.1), the European call price is given by

$$V(x,0) = e^{-rT} K \mathbb{E}[(e^y - 1)^+] = e^{-rT} K \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (e^y - 1)^+ \tilde{f}(y|x) dy \tag{3.4}$$

Since the density rapidly decays to zero as $y \to \pm\infty$, we truncate the infinite integration range without loosing significant accuracy to $[a,b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ and obtain the approximation

$$V_1(x,0) = e^{-rT} K \int_a^b (e^y - 1)^+ f(y|x) dy. \tag{3.5}$$

In the second step, we replace $f(y|x)$ by its Legendre series representation (2.25) to obtain the following proposition

Proposition 3.1. *Under the hypotheses of theorem (2.25), we obtain an approximation of (1.1) given by the following Legendre polynomial pricing formula*

$$V_4(x,0) = e^{-rT} \sum_{n=0}^{N} A_n^M V_n \tag{3.6}$$

where A_n^M and V_n are defined respectively by

$$A_n^M = \frac{2n+1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\sum_{k=-M, \neq 0}^{M} \widetilde{B}_k i^n e^{\frac{i\pi k(a+b)}{b-a}} \frac{J_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(\pi k)}{\sqrt{k}} + \widetilde{B}_0 \sqrt{2} \delta_{n=0} \right] \tag{3.7}$$

$$V_n = \begin{cases} K\beta \left[e^{\frac{a+b}{2}} \int_\alpha^1 e^{\beta t} P_n(t) dt - \frac{P_{n-1}(\alpha) - P_{n+1}(\alpha)}{2n+1} \right] & \text{for European call} \\ \frac{P_{n-1}(\alpha) - P_{n+1}(\alpha)}{2n+1} & \text{for European digital call} \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

with $\alpha = \frac{a+b}{a-b}$ and $\beta = \frac{(b-a)}{2}$.

Proof. For the European call price, we use the representation (2.25) of $f(y|x)$, perform two truncations in the infinite sums: One for n in (2.25) to N and an another one for k in (2.21) to $[-M, M]$ and neglect the remaining term R_{A_n} in (2.22). Then we get an estimation of the price given by

$$V_4(x,0) = e^{-rT}K\sum_{n=0}^{N} A_n^M \int_a^b (e^y-1)^+ P_n\left(\frac{2y-(a+b)}{b-a}\right) dy. \tag{3.9}$$

Without loss of generality, we suppose $a << 0$, $b >> 0$ and with a change of variable $t = \frac{2y-(a+b)}{b-a}$, the last expression becomes

$$V_4(x,0) = e^{-rT}K\sum_{n=0}^{N} A_n^M \beta \left[e^{\frac{a+b}{2}} \int_\alpha^1 e^{\beta t} P_n(t)dt - \int_\alpha^1 P_n(t)dt\right]. \tag{3.10}$$

By using the Legendre polynomial property

$$(2n+1)P_n(t) = P_{n+1}^{'}(t) - P_{n-1}^{'}(t) \tag{3.11}$$

and $P_n(1) = 1$, $\forall\, n \geq 0$, we get

$$\int_\alpha^1 P_n(t)dt = \frac{P_{n-1}(\alpha) - P_{n+1}(\alpha)}{2n+1} \tag{3.12}$$

The European digital call price is computed similarly.

□

Remark 3.2. The computation of $\int_\alpha^1 e^{\beta t}P_n(t)dt$ in V_n for the European call price needs attention. We provide an analytical formula in proposition (7.1). Its computation is straightforward for small values of n. For $n >> 1$ several accuracy and stability issues arise because of rapid accumulation of round-off errors [26, 30].

3.2. **Alternate computational procedure.** The computation of the Legendre pricing formula (3.6) is straightforward for small value of N by using the expression for V_n in proposition (7.1). To obtain accurate pricing, we need to consider $N, M >> 1$. M large does not have any implementation difficulty. However, for $N >> 1$, the computation of V_n using (7.2) introduces instability and inaccuracy issues because of cancellations [30]. The objective in this section is to provide an alternative computational procedure for V_n with machine accuracy.

Let's write

$$U_n = \int_\alpha^1 e^{\beta t} p_n(t)dt \tag{3.13}$$

Using integration by parts, we get

$$U_n = V_n - \frac{1}{\beta}\int_{\alpha}^{1} e^{\beta t} p_n^{'}(t)dt \tag{3.14}$$

with $V_n = \frac{1}{\beta}(e^{\beta} - e^{\beta\alpha}P_n(\alpha))$.

With (3.11), it is easy to show

$$p_n^{'}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{||p_{n-1}||^2}p_{n-1}(t) + \sum_{i=0,\,2i\leq(n-3)} \frac{2}{||p_{2i}||^2}p_{2i}(t) & \text{for odd } n \geq 2, \\ \frac{2}{||p_{n-1}||^2}p_{n-1}(t) + \sum_{i=0,\,2i+1\leq(n-3)} \frac{2}{||p_{2i+1}||^2}p_{2i+1}(t) & \text{for odd } n \geq 2 \end{cases} \tag{3.15}$$

where $||p_m||^2 = \frac{2}{2m+1}$, $m \geq 0$.

Using (3.14) with (3.15), we get the following second-order linear difference equation:

$$U_n = V_n - \frac{1}{\beta}\frac{2}{||P_{n-1}||^2}U_{n-1} + U_{n-2} - V_{n-2} \tag{3.16}$$

given U_0 and U_1.

Or by posing $y_n = U_n - V_n$, we obtain

$$y_{n-1} - \frac{1}{\beta}(2n+1)y_n - y_{n+1} = \frac{1}{\beta}(2n+1)V_n. \tag{3.17}$$

given y_0 and y_1.

The computation of U_n or y_n using these forward recurrences is straightforward but it generates instabilities and inaccuracies for large n. It is a well known issue as discussed in [36, 11, 22].

An excellent technique which evaluates U_n to machine accuracy is Olver's method [36]. The approach consists to treat the difference equation as a boundary-value problem rather than using initial-value technique. This rewrites the recurrence relation as a triple of recurrence relations, two of which are evaluated forwards to an index greater than the desired N, the number of additional steps required for a given accuracy being determined as part of the procedure. The third relation is then evaluated by backward recurrence (see [36] for details).

4. Error analysis

Let's write the successive approximations introduced in the derivation of the pricing formula (3.6).

$$V(x,0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(y,T)\tilde{f}(y|x)dy = V_1(x,0) + \epsilon_1 \tag{4.1}$$

with

$$V_1(x,0) = \int_a^b V(y,T)\tilde{f}(y|x)dy \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\epsilon_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}-[a,b]} V(y,T)f(y|x)dy \tag{4.3}$$

ϵ_1 corresponds to an integral truncation error.

Using (2.25), it comes

$$V_1(x,0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k V_k = V_2(x,0) + \epsilon_2 \tag{4.4}$$

where A_k is defined in (2.7), V_k in (3.8) with

$$V_2(x,0) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} A_k V_k \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\epsilon_2 = \sum_{k=N}^{+\infty} A_k V_k \tag{4.6}$$

ϵ_2 corresponds to the series truncation error.

By posing $C_m^k = \int_a^b P_k\left(\frac{2y-(a+b)}{b-a}\right) e^{i2\pi(\frac{ym}{b-a})}dy$, A_k is written as

$$A_k = \frac{2k+1}{b-a}\left[\sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} B_m C_m^k\right] \tag{4.7}$$

Using expression (4.5), we get

$$V_2(x,0) = V_3(x,0) + \epsilon_3 \tag{4.8}$$

with

$$V_3(x,0) = \frac{1}{b-a}\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\sum_{m=-M}^{M} V_k(2k+1)B_m C_m^k \tag{4.9}$$

and

$$\epsilon_3 = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\frac{V_k(2k+1)}{b-a}\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}-[-M,M]} B_m C_m^k \tag{4.10}$$

ϵ_3 represents another series truncation error.

Finally using (2.17), we have

$$V_3(x,0) = V_4(x,0) + \epsilon_4 \tag{4.11}$$

with

$$V_4(x,t) = \frac{1}{b-a}\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\sum_{m=-M}^{M} V_k(2k+1)\widetilde{B}_m C_m^k \tag{4.12}$$

and

$$\epsilon_4 = -\frac{1}{b-a}\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\sum_{m=-M}^{M} V_k(2k+1)R_m C_m^k \tag{4.13}$$

ϵ_4 represents another integral truncation error.

To summarize we obtain

$$V(x,0) = V_4(x,0) + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_4. \tag{4.14}$$

$V_4(x,0)$ can be complex. By taking the real part in (4.14), it comes

$$V(x,0) = Re(V_4(x,0)) + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + Re(\epsilon_3) + Re(\epsilon_4) \tag{4.15}$$

because $V(x,0)$, ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 are real by definition.

The key to bound the errors lies in the decay rate of the generalized Fourier series coefficients. The convergence rate depends on the smoothness of the functions on the expansion interval.

We summarize in the next theorem taken from [43], the decay rates of the coefficients in the Legendre series expansion and the error bounds of the truncated Legendre series in the uniform norm.

let $\|.\|_T$ be the Chebyshev-weighted seminorm defined by

$$\|u\|_T = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\mid u'(x) \mid}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} dx$$

,

E_ρ denotes the Bernstein ellipse in the complex plane

$$E_\rho = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | z = \frac{1}{2}(u + u^{-1}), u = \rho e^{i\theta}, -\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi\}$$

and

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j P_j(x)$$

the truncated Legendre series expansions of $f(x)$.

Theorem 4.1. *If f, f',...,f^{k-1} are absolutely continuous on $[-1,1]$ and $\|f^{(k)}\|_T = V_k < \infty$ for some $k > 1$ ($H_{abs}(k-1)$), then for each $n > k+1$,*

$$\mid a_n \mid \leq \frac{V_k}{(n-\frac{1}{2})(n-\frac{3}{2})...(n-\frac{2k-1}{2})}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2(n-k-1)}}. \tag{4.16}$$

If f is analytic inside and on the Bernstein ellipse E_ρ with foci ± 1 and major semiaxis and minor semiaxis summing to $\rho > 1$ ($H_a(E_\rho)$), then for each $n \geq 0$,

$$| a_n | \leq \frac{(2n+1)\ell(E_\rho)M}{\pi\rho^{n+1}(1-\rho^{-2})} \tag{4.17}$$

where $M = \max_{z\in E_\rho} | f(z) |$ *and* $\ell(E_\rho)$ *denotes the length of the circumference of* E_ρ.

4.1. **Bound for** ϵ_2**.** A_k and V_k correspond respectively to the Legendre series coefficients of the $f(x)$ and of the payoff functions. The density function is generally smoother than the payoff function in finance and we expect the coefficient A_k to decay faster than V_k. The following proposition makes it precise.

Proposition 4.2. *Let's assume* $\int_a^b v^2(y,T)dy < +\infty$ *and define*

$$g(y) \equiv f\left(\frac{b-a}{2}y + \frac{a+b}{2}\right)$$

.

There are two cases:

(1) *Under* $H_{abs}(k-1)$ *with* $k > 1$ *for* g*, we have*

$$| \epsilon_2 | \leq \frac{V_k}{(k-1)(N-\frac{1}{2})(N-\frac{3}{2})...(N-\frac{2k-3}{2})}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2(N-k)}} \tag{4.18}$$

(2) g *analytic on* $[-1,1]$*. Then we get*

$$| \epsilon_2 | \leq \frac{(2N\rho+3\rho-2N-1)\ell(E_\rho)M}{\pi\rho^{N+1}(\rho-1)^2(1-\rho^{-2})} \tag{4.19}$$

where $\tilde{g}$ *is the analytic continuation of* g *on and within* E_ρ *with* $\rho > 1$*,* $M \equiv \max_{z\in E_\rho} | \tilde{g}(z) |$ *and* $\ell(E_\rho)$ *denotes the length of the circumference of* E_ρ.

Proof. We have $V_k \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. Indeed

$$| V_k | = | \int_a^b v(y,T)P_k\left(\frac{2y-(a+b)}{b-a}\right)dy | \tag{4.20}$$

$$\leq \|v\|_{L^2[a,b]}\sqrt{\frac{b-a}{2k+1}}. \tag{4.21}$$

where we have used Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and $\|P_k\| = \sqrt{\frac{2}{2k+1}}$.

So for $N >> \infty$, we write

$$| \epsilon_2 | \leq \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} | A_k.V_k | \leq \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} | A_k | \equiv E_T(N) \tag{4.22}$$

Error ϵ_2 is thus dominated by the Legendre series truncation of $f(x)$.

To bring the analysis in the interval $[-1,1]$, we perform a change of variable $y = \frac{2x-(a+b)}{b-a}$ and define $g(y) = f(\frac{b-a}{2}y + \frac{a+b}{2})$.

We consider 2 cases:

- Under $H_{abs}(k-1)$ with $k > 1$ for g, using (4.16) and following the arguments in [43], it comes

$$E(N) \leq \frac{V_k}{(k-1)(N-\frac{1}{2})(N-\frac{3}{2})...(N-\frac{2k-3}{2})}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2(N-k)}} \tag{4.23}$$

- g analytic on $[-1,1]$.

  By the the theory of analytic continuation, there always exists a Bernstein ellipse E_ρ with $\rho > 1$ such that $\tilde{g}$, the continuation of g, is analytic on and within E_ρ. Using (4.17) and following the arguments in [43], we derive

$$E(N) \leq \frac{(2N\rho + 3\rho - 2N - 1)\ell(E_\rho)M}{\pi\rho^{N+1}(\rho-1)^2(1-\rho^{-2})} \tag{4.24}$$

□

4.2. **Bound for ϵ_3.**

Proposition 4.3. *If*

(1)

$$f(b) = f(a), f^{(1)}(b) = f^{(1)}(a), ..., f^{(l-1)}(b) = f^{(l-1)}(a) \tag{4.25}$$

(2) $f^{(l)}(x)$ *is integrable*

Then

$$\epsilon_3 = \mathcal{O}(\frac{C_{N-1}}{M^l}) \quad for \mid M \mid >> 1 \tag{4.26}$$

with $C_{N-1} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{|V_k|(2k+1)}{b-a}$.

In particular if the function f is differentiable to all orders and (1) is satisfied for any l, then ϵ_3 decreases faster than $\frac{1}{|M|^l}$ for any finite power of l. This is the exponential convergence property.

Proof. Let's fix $k \in [0, N-1]$. For $\mid M \mid >> 1$,

$$\mid \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}-[-M,M]} B_m C_m^k \mid \leq \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}-[-M,M]} \mid B_m \mid\mid C_m^k \mid \tag{4.27}$$

By applying theorem 4 p.42 in [9], we get

$$\mid B_m \mid \leq \frac{C_1}{\mid m \mid^l} \tag{4.28}$$

for a constant C_1 independent of m.

Using (2.15), we have

$$\mid C_m^k \mid \leq \frac{C_2}{\sqrt{\mid m \mid}} \mid J_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\pi m) \mid \tag{4.29}$$

for a constant C_2 independent of m, k.

Applying the property $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $J_\nu(ze^{n\pi i}) = e^{n\nu\pi i} J_\nu(z)$ to $n = 1$, we get $\mid J_\nu(-z) \mid = \mid J_\nu(z) \mid$. Using the asymptotic result for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \to +\infty$ (theorem 2.13 in [32])

$$J_\nu(x) \backsim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} cos(x - \frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\nu\pi}{2}), \tag{4.30}$$

The expression in (4.29) becomes, for $m >> 1$

$$\mid C_m^k \mid \leq \frac{C_3}{\mid m \mid}. \tag{4.31}$$

with a constant C_3 independent of m, k.

So with (4.28) and (4.31), it comes

$$\mid B_m \mid C_m^k \mid \leq \frac{C_4}{\mid m \mid^{l+1}} \tag{4.32}$$

for a constant C_4 independent of m, k.

The series truncation error below (see [7] for proof) behaves like, for $M >> 1$,

$$\sum_{m=M+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^n} \backsim \frac{1}{(n-1)M^{n-1}}. \tag{4.33}$$

Applying (4.33) with $n = l + 1$, we finally obtain, for $M >> 1$,

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} - [-M,M]} \mid B_m \mid\mid C_m^k \mid = \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{M^l}). \tag{4.34}$$

and can deduce directly $\epsilon_3 = \mathcal{O}(\frac{C_{N-1}}{M^l})$. □

Remark 4.4. In practice, we believe the condition 4.25 in proposition 4.3 is *nearly* satisfied if the boundary points a and b are chosen appropriately. Indeed, $f(x)$, being a probability density function, converges to 0 when $\mid x \mid \to \infty$. As illustration, we consider the Black Scholes model where the gaussian density function, $f_{m,\sigma}(x)$ with mean m and standard deviation σ, and its derivatives are known analytically and given by

$$f_{m,\sigma}^{(n)}(x) = \frac{(-1)^n H_n(\frac{x-m}{\sigma}) f_{m,\sigma}(x)}{\sigma^n} \tag{4.35}$$

where H_n are *Hermite polynomials* defined by $H_n(x) = (-1)^n e^{\frac{x^2}{2}} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$.

Figure 4.1 shows the graph of $f_{m,\sigma}^{(n)}$ for various values n. With $a = -1.7813$ and $b = 1.7188$, we observe clearly that condition 4.25 is *closely* satisfied. We will give insight in the choice of [a, b] in Section 5.1.



FIGURE 4.1. Various derivatives of the Gaussian density function in Black Scholes model (see section 5.2). The parameters are $S_0 = 1, r = 0, T = 1, \sigma = 0.25$ and $a = -1.7813$, $b = 1.7188$ with $L = 7$ for the truncation range (5.1).

4.3. **Bound for ϵ_1 and ϵ_4.** .

ϵ_1 is simply bounded as $\epsilon_1 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}-[a,b]} |V(y,T)|\tilde{f}(y|x)dy$ and is small as soon as $\tilde{f}(y)$ decays to 0 faster than $V(y,T)$ in the tail.

ϵ_4 is essentially bounded by the integral truncation of the density function as stated in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.5.

$$| \epsilon_4 | \leq C_{N,M}.\epsilon \tag{4.36}$$

where $C_{N,M} \equiv \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\sum_{m=-M}^{M}|V_k(2k+1)C_m^k|}{b-a}$ *and* $\epsilon \equiv \frac{1}{b-a}\left[\tilde{F}(a) + 1 - \tilde{F}(a)\right]$ *with* $\tilde{F}(x)$ *is the cumulative distribution function of* $\tilde{f}(x)$.

Proof. R_k, being defined in (2.19), can be bounded as

$$| R_k | \leq \frac{1}{b-a}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{a} \tilde{f}(x)dx + \int_{b}^{+\infty} \tilde{f}(x)dx\right] = \frac{1}{b-a}\left[\tilde{F}(a) + 1 - \tilde{F}(a)\right] = \epsilon \tag{4.37}$$

It comes

$$| \epsilon_4 | \leq \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\sum_{m=-M}^{M} | V_k(2k+1)C_m^k |}{b-a}\epsilon = C_{N,M}\epsilon. \tag{4.38}$$

□

5. Numerical experiments

In this section, we perform a variety of numerical tests to illustrate the robustness and accuracy of the new computational pricing method using Legendre polynomial. The payoff functions in finance can be continuous or discontinuous. Here the European call options and the European digital call options are considered. We cover a class of models widely studied and used in quantitative finance:

- Black Scholes Model;
- Merton and Kou Jump Diffusion Models;
- Heston Stochastic Volatility Model.

For the tests to be comprehensive, we consider short, standard and long maturities (0.1, 1, 3, 10 years) and in/at/out of the money options.

5.1. **Truncation Range.** For practical usage, it is important to determine appropriately and as systematically as possible the range $[a, b]$ to minimise the integral truncation errors ϵ_1 and ϵ_4. Being given the characteristic function of $X = \log(\frac{S_T}{K})$, we can compute its cumulants c_n, defined in (7.6), and uses the following formula proposed in [18]:

$$[a, b] := \left[c_1 - L\sqrt{c_2 + \sqrt{c_4}}, c_1 + L\sqrt{c_2 + \sqrt{c_4}}\right] \tag{5.1}$$

The cumulants for each model are given in appendix B. The value for L is chosen to be in $[7, 12]$ and will be made explicit for each model in the tests.

Expression (5.1) uses c_n up to degree 4. Similar range formula involving the first two moments of X is implemented in [1]. In general, using high order cumulants captures better the tail behaviour of the distribution.

5.2. **Black Scholes Model.** For this Model, the SDE for the asset price S_t, under risk neutral measure, is given by

$$\frac{dS_t}{S_t} = rdt + \sigma dW_t. \tag{5.2}$$

with W_t the Brownian motion, r the risk free rate and σ the volatility parameter.

The characteristic function $\varphi(u)$ of $\log(\frac{S_T}{K})$ is

$$\varphi(u) = \exp(\mu u i - \frac{1}{2}u^2\sigma^2 T) \tag{5.3}$$

with $\mu = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 T - \log(K)$.

Set of parameters:

$S_0 = 1, r = 0, T = 10, \sigma = 0.25$ and $L = 7$ for the truncation range (5.1).

The other details of the model are provided in section 7.2.1.

We examine a long maturity with $T = 10$. Figure 5.2 compares the true Gaussian density and the recovered density functions using respectively $N = M = 12$ and $N = M = 32$ for the truncation in formula (2.25). With $N = M = 12$, the approximating density captures the form of the true density although we observe some slight negative values in the tail. With $N = M = 32$, it is indistinguishable from the true density function.

For the pricing, we consider a discontinous payoff with the digital option. As shown in Figure 5.3, the error convergence of the method is exponential in terms of N and M respectively. Indeed, in Black Scholes model, the density function of $\log(\frac{S_T}{K})$ is gaussian and so is infinitely differentiable with exponential decay to 0 for large x. Further, we observe the error convergence rate is basically the same for different strike prices.

5.3. **Merton Jump Diffusion Model.** In this model [35, 5], the SDE for the asset price S_t, under risk neutral measure, is written as

$$\frac{dS_t}{S_t-} = (r - \lambda.m(t))dt + \sigma dW_t + (J(t) - 1)d\pi(t). \tag{5.4}$$

where $W(t)$ is a Brownian motion, $\pi(t)$ a Poisson counting process with constant jump intensity λ and r the deterministic risk-free interest rate. $\{J(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$, representing the jump size, is a sequence of independent log normal random variables of the form $J(t) = e^{\mu+\gamma N(t)}$ with $N(t)$ a standard gaussian random variable and $m \equiv E[J(t) - 1]$. π, W and J are all assumed to be independent.

The characteristic function $\varphi(u)$ of $\log(\frac{S_T}{K})$ is

$$\varphi(u) = \exp\left(iu\tilde{b}T - \frac{u^2\sigma^2 T}{2} + \lambda T(e^{iu\mu - \frac{\gamma^2 u^2}{2}} - 1)\right) \tag{5.5}$$

where $\tilde{b} = b - \frac{\log(K)}{T}$ and $b = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 - \lambda(e^{\mu+\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} - 1)$.

The set of parameters is calibrated to market data from [5] with $r = 0$ and maturity 3 years:

$S_0 = 1, T = 3$, $\sigma = 0.1765$, $\lambda = 0.089$, $\mu = -0.8898$, $\gamma = 0.4505$ and $L = 10$ for the truncation range (5.1).



FIGURE 5.2. Comparison of the true Gaussian density (solide line) and its approximation based on $N = M = 12$ (solide line with '+' marker) and $N = M = 32$ (solide line with 'o' marker) for maturity $T = 10$.

The other details of the model are provided in section 7.2.2.

We study a standard maturity with $T = 3$. Figure 5.4 compares the true density and the recovered density functions using respectively $N = M = 50$ and $N = M = 80$. The true density is computed using formula (7.15) with a truncation in the infinite sum at 50. First we observe the *Merton* density function, showing a sharp peak, is less smooth than the Gaussian density function. With $N = M = 50$, the approximating density captures reasonably well the form of the true density although we can observe some slight negative values in low probability area. With $N = M = 80$, the difference between the true density and the approximating density is not discernible.

We consider the digital option for pricing. As shown in Figure 5.5, the error convergence of the method is still exponential in N and M respectively. But the convergence is slower than in the Black Scholes model as expected in view of the sharp peak density function. And the error convergence rate is basically the same for different strike prices.

5.4. **Kou Jump Diffusion Model.** In this model [31], the dynamic of the asset price, $S(t)$, under risk neutral probability, is



FIGURE 5.3. Error convergence for pricing European digital call option with $T = 10$ in Black Scholes model.

$$\frac{dS_t}{S_t-} = \mu dt + \sigma dW_t + d\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)}(V_i - 1)\right). \tag{5.6}$$

with W_t a standard Brownian motion, $N(t)$ a Poisson process with rate λ. $\{V_i\}$ is a sequence of independent identically distributed (i.i.d.) nonnegative random variables such that $Y = \log(V)$ has an asymmetric double exponential distribution with the density

$$f_Y(y) = p.\eta_1 e^{-\eta_1 y} 1_{y\geq 0} + q.\eta_2 e^{\eta_2 y} 1_{y<0},\ \eta_1 > 1, \eta_2 > 0, \tag{5.7}$$

and $p, q \geq 0$, $p + q = 1$, representing the probabilities of upward and downward jumps. $\mu = \lambda\left(\frac{p}{1-\eta_1} + \frac{1-p}{\eta_2+1}\right)$.

The characteristic function $\varphi(u)$ of $\log(\frac{S_T}{K})$ is given by

$$\varphi(u) = \exp\left(iu\tilde{b}T - \frac{u^2\sigma^2 T}{2} + \lambda T iu\left(\frac{p}{\eta_1 - iu} - \frac{1-p}{\eta_2 + iu}\right)\right) \tag{5.8}$$



FIGURE 5.4. Comparison of the true density function, (solide line) and its approximation based on $N = M = 50$ (solide line with '+' marker) and $N = M = 84$ (solide line with 'o' marker) for maturity $T = 3$ in Merton jump diffusion model.

where $\tilde{b} = b - \frac{\log(K)}{T}$ and $b = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 - \lambda(e^{\mu+\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} - 1)$.

The set of parameters is from [31] with $r = 0$ and maturity 1 year:

$S_0 = 1, T = 1, \sigma = 0.16$, $\lambda = 1$, $p = 0.4$ and $\eta_1 = 10$, $\eta_2 = 5$ and $L = 10$ for the truncation range (5.1).

The other details of the model are provided in section 7.2.3.

Analytical formula for density function being not available, Figure 5.6 presents the recovered density functions for $T = 1$ and 3 respectively. We observe a sharper-peaked density for $T = 1$.

For the pricing, we study the European call option with a standard maturity $T = 1$ y. As shown in Figure 5.7, the error convergence of the method is exponential in N and M respectively. Further, the error convergence rate is basically the same for different strike prices.

5.5. **Heston Stochastic Volatility Model.** In this model [24] under risk neutral measure, the SDE is given by



FIGURE 5.5. Error convergence for pricing European digital call option with $T = 3$ in Merton Jump Diffusion model.

$$d\tilde{x}_t = -\frac{1}{2}u_t dt + \sqrt{u_t}dW_{1t} \tag{5.9}$$

$$du_t = \lambda(\bar{u} - u_t)dt + \eta\sqrt{u_t}dW_{2t} \tag{5.10}$$

where $\tilde{x}_t$ denotes the log-asset price variable and u_t the variance the asset price process. Parameters $\lambda \geq 0,\ \bar{u} \geq 0$ and $\eta \geq 0$ represent the speed of mean reversion, the mean level of variance and the volatility of volatility, respectively. Furthermore, the Brownian motions W_{1t} and W_{2t} are assumed to be correlated with correlation coefficient ρ.

The characteristic function $\varphi(x)$ of $\log(\frac{S_T}{K})$ can be represented by

$$\varphi(x) = e^{-ix\log(K)+\frac{u_0}{\eta^2}\left(\frac{1-e^{-DT}}{1-Ge^{-DT}}\right)(\lambda-i\rho\eta x-D)+\frac{\lambda\bar{u}}{\eta^2}\left[T(\lambda-i\rho\eta x-D)-2\log\left(\frac{1-Ge^{-DT}}{1-G}\right)\right]} \tag{5.11}$$

with $D = \sqrt{(\lambda - i\rho\eta x)^2 + (x^2 + ix)\eta^2}$ and $G = \frac{\lambda-i\rho\eta x-D}{\lambda-i\rho\eta x+D}$.

This characteristic function is uniquely specified, since we take $\sqrt{x+yi}$ such that its real part is nonnegative, and we restrict the complex logarithm to its



FIGURE 5.6. Recovered density functions in Kou jump diffusion model for $T = 1$y (solide line with 'o' marker) and $T = 3$y (solide line with '+' marker) with $N = M = 80$.

principal branch. In this case the resulting characteristic function is the correct one for all complex ω in the strip of analyticity of the characteristic function [34].

The set of parameters is calibrated to market data from [14] with $r = 0$ and a short maturity $T = 0.1$:

$S_0 = 1, T = 0.1, \lambda = 0.9626, \bar{u} = 0.2957, \eta = 0.7544, \rho = -0.2919, u_0 = 0.0983$.

Since the analytical formula for c_4 is involved, instead of (5.1), we use the following truncation range:

$$[a, b] := \left[c_1 - 12\sqrt{|c_2|}, c_1 + 12\sqrt{|c_2|}\right] \tag{5.12}$$

Cumulant c_2 may become negative for sets of Heston parameters that do not satisfy the Feller condition, i.e, $2\bar{u}\lambda > \eta^2$. We therefore use the absolute value of c_2. The formulas for cumulants are reported in section (7.2.4).

Analytical formula for density function being not available, Figure 5.8 provides an illustration for the recovered density functions with $T = 0.1$ and $T = 1$ respectively. For $T = 0.1$, the density is much more peaked.

We examine the European call option with a short maturity $T = 0.1$ year for pricing. Although the sharp peaked density, the error convergence of the method is still exponential in N and M respectively, as shown in Figure 5.9.



FIGURE 5.7. Error convergence for pricing European call option with $T = 1$ in Kou Jump Diffusion model.

Moreover, the error convergence rate is basically the same for different strike prices.

6. Conclusion and discussions

In this paper, we have introduced a method for pricing European-style options combining Fourier series and generalized Fourier series with Legendre polynomials. It can be used as long as a characteristic function for the underlying price process is available. It consists to expand the density function as Legendre series and observe that the coefficients can be accurately retrieved from the characteristic functions. This representation of the density function is then uses for the risk-neutral valuation and approximation formulas are derived. However its direct implementation can give rise of rapid accumulations of round-off errors. We provide a robust and stable procedure using *Olver* algorithm to compute the approximation formulas with machine precision.

Derivation of the pricing method has been accompanied by an error analysis. Errors bounds have been derived and the study relies more on smoothness properties which are not provided by the payoff functions, but rather by the



FIGURE 5.8. Recovered density functions in Heston stochastic volatility model for $T = 0.1$ y (solide line with 'o' marker) and $T = 1$ y (solide line with '+' marker) with $N = M = 80$.

density function of the underlying stochastic models. In our numerical experiments, we cover a class of models widely used in quantitative finance. The payoff of the contract can be continuous (call option) or discontinuous (digital call option). The tests considered, with various strike prices and maturities, show exponential convergence rate.

Here we have focused on the accuracy and robustness analysis of the method for pricing European-style options. The computation of the sensitivity factors w.r.t model parameters are important for the risk management. And it would be interesting to extend the method to cover more exotic contracts like forward start [8], quanto [38] or spread options [23]. This is let for future research.

7. ANNEXE

7.1. **Appendix A.** We propose in the following proposition some analytical formulas for the computation of expression

$$\int_{\alpha}^{1} P_n(t)e^{\beta t}dt. \tag{7.1}$$

Proposition 7.1. *for* $\beta \neq 0$,

$$\int_{\alpha}^{1} P_n(t)e^{\beta t}dt = \frac{1}{2^n}\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}(-1)^k C_n^k C_{2n-2k}^n \left[IEP(\beta, n-2k, 1) - IEP(\beta, n-2k, \alpha)\right] \tag{7.2}$$



FIGURE 5.9. Error convergence for pricing European call option with short maturity $T = 0.1$ in Heston stochastic volatility model.

with

$$IEP(\beta, n, t) := e^{\beta t} \sum_{i=0}^{n} t^i \frac{n!}{\beta^{n+1-i} i!} (-1)^{n-i}. \tag{7.3}$$

Proof. For (7.2), by using successively integration by parts formula, we show easily

$$\int t^n e^{\beta t} dt = e^{\beta t} \sum_{i=0}^{n} t^i \frac{n!}{\beta^{n+1-i} i!} (-1)^{n-i}. \tag{7.4}$$

We obtain (7.2) by using the formula (2.1) for Legendre polynomial.

□

The computation of (7.1) with (7.2) for small values of n does not pose any problems. When $n >> 1$ accuracy and stability issues arise because of cancellations. In section (3.2), we propose to use Olver algorithm to implement these terms with machine precision.

7.2. **Appendix B.** Here, we provide some analytical formulas for the cumulants of $\log(\frac{S_T}{K})$, the density function of $\log(S_T)$ and the option pricing in the class of models discussed in section 5.

Let X be a random variable and Φ_X its characteristic function. We can define an unique continuous function Ψ_X in a neighbourhood of zero such that

$$\Psi_X(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_X(z) = exp[\Psi_X(z)]. \tag{7.5}$$

The function Ψ_X is called the *cumulant generating function*. The *cumulants* of X are defined by

$$c_n(X) = \frac{1}{i^n}\frac{\partial^n \Psi_X}{\partial u^n}(0). \tag{7.6}$$

(see [13] for details). We present the cumulants c_1, c_2 and c_4, needed to determine the truncation range in (5.1).

7.2.1. *Black Scholes model.* With $r = 0$ in (5.2), we have

$$c_1 = \log\left(\frac{S_0}{K}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 T \tag{7.7}$$

$$c_2 = \sigma^2 T \tag{7.8}$$

$$c_4 = 0 \tag{7.9}$$

$$\log(S_T) \sim N(\log(S_0) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 T, \sigma\sqrt{T}) \tag{7.10}$$

$$V(x,t) = N\left(\frac{\log(\frac{S_0}{K}) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}\right) \tag{7.11}$$

where $V(x,t)$ is the analytical digital call price with strike K.

7.2.2. *Merton Jump Diffusion Model.* With $r = 0$ in (5.4), we have

$$c_1 = T(\tilde{b} + \lambda\mu) \tag{7.12}$$

$$c_2 = T(\sigma^2 + \lambda(\mu^2 + \gamma^2)) \tag{7.13}$$

$$c_4 = T\lambda(3\gamma^4 + 6\mu^2\gamma^2 + \mu^4) \tag{7.14}$$

$$f_{X_T}(x) = e^{-\lambda T}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda T)^k}{k!}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\sigma^2 T + k\gamma^2)}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(x-\tilde{b}T-k\mu)^2}{\sigma^2 T + k\gamma^2}} \tag{7.15}$$

$$V(x,t) = e^{-\lambda T}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda T)^k}{k!}N\left(\frac{\log(\frac{S_0}{K}) + bT + k\mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 T + k\gamma^2}}\right) \tag{7.16}$$

with $b = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 - \lambda(e^{\mu+\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} - 1)$, $\tilde{b} = b - \frac{\log(K)}{T}$, $f_{X_T}(x)$ the probability density function of $X_T \equiv \log(\frac{S_T}{K})$ and $V(x,t)$ the analytical digital call price with strike K.

7.2.3. *Kou Jump Diffusion Model.* With (5.6), we have

$$c_1 = T\left(\tilde{b} + \lambda\left(\frac{p}{\eta_1} - \frac{1-p}{\eta_2}\right)\right) \tag{7.17}$$

$$c_2 = T\left(\sigma^2 + 2\lambda\left(\frac{p}{\eta_1^2} + \frac{1-p}{\eta_2^2}\right)\right) \tag{7.18}$$

$$c_4 = 24T\lambda\left(\frac{p}{\eta_1^4} + \frac{1-p}{\eta_2^4}\right) \tag{7.19}$$

The pricing formula for a European call option is involved and can be found in Theorem 2 [31].

7.2.4. *Heston Stochastic Volatility Model.* With (5.9), we have

$$c_1 = (1 - e^{-\lambda T})\frac{(\bar{u} - u_0)}{2\lambda} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{u}T \tag{7.20}$$

$$c_2 = \frac{1}{8\lambda^3}(\eta T\lambda e^{-\lambda T}(u_0 - \bar{u})(8\lambda\rho - 4\eta) + \lambda\rho\eta(1 - e^{-\lambda T})(16\bar{u} - 8u_0) \tag{7.21}$$

$$+ 2\bar{u}\lambda T(-4\lambda\eta\rho + \eta^2 + 4\lambda^2) + 8\lambda^2(u_0 - \bar{u})(1 - e^{-\lambda T}) \tag{7.22}$$

$$+ \eta^2((\bar{u} - 2u_0)e^{-2\lambda T} + \bar{u}(6e^{-\lambda T} - 7) + 2u_0)) \tag{7.23}$$

References

[1] K. Lindsay A. Hurn and A. McClelland. On the efficacy of fourier series approximations for pricing european options. *Applied Mathematics*, 5:2786–2807, 2014.

[2] P.D Duck P.D Newton A.D Andricopoulos, M. Widdicks. Universal option valuation using quadrature methods. *J. Financial Econom.*, 67:447471, 2003.

[3] R. Almeida. The legendre dynamic model: Choosing qualitative features when implementing affine term structure models. *Working paper*, 2004.

[4] X. Ye A.M. Mughal1 and K. Iqbal. Computational algorithm for higher order legendre polynomial and gaussian quadrature method. *Proceedings of the 2006 International Conference on Scientific Computing, Las Vegas, Nevada, USA*, 2006.

[5] L Andersen and J. Andreasen. Jump-diffusion processes: Volatility smile fitting and numerical methods for option pricing. *Review of Derivatives Research*, 4:231262, 1998.

[6] G. Bakshi and Z. Chen. An alternative valuation model for contingent claims. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 44:123165, 1997.

[7] C.M Bender and S.A Orszag. *Advanced Mathematical Methods for Scientists and Engineers*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1978.

[8] R. Bompis and J. Hok. Forward implied volatility expansion in time-dependent local volatility models. *ESAIM: PROCEEDINGS,J.-S. Dhersin, Editor*, 45, 2014.

[9] J P. Boyd. *Chebyshev and Fourier Spectral Methods*. Dover Publications, Inc, 2000.

[10] G. Drimus C. Necula and W. Farkas. A general closed form option pricing formula. *Working paper*, 2016.

[11] J.R. CASH. A note on the iterative solution of recurrence relations. *Numerische Mathematik*, 27:165–170, 1977.

[12] M.A. Cohena and C.O Tan. A polynomial approximation for arbitrary functions. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 25:19471952, 2012.

[13] R. Cont and P. Tankov. *Financial modelling with Jump Processes*. Chapman and Hall / CRC Press, 2004.

[14] R. Crisostomo. An analysis of the heston stochastic volatility model: Implementation and calibration using matlab. *Working paper*, 2014.

[15] P.J Davis. *Interpolation and Approximation*. Dover Publications, Inc, 1975.

[16] R.H Dicke and J.P. Wittke. *Introduction to Quantum Mechanics*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company Inc., Reading, Massachusetts, 1960.

[17] D. Ding and S.C U. Efficient option pricing methods based on fourier series expansions. *Journal of Mathematical Research and Exposition*, 31:1222, 2011.
[18] F. Fang and C.W. Oosterlee. A novel pricing method for european options based on fourier- cosine series expansions. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput*, 31:826–848, 2008.
[19] L. Feng and V. Linetsky. Pricing discretely monitored barrier options and defaultable bonds in levy process models: a fast hilbert transform approach. *Math. Finance*, 18:337384, 2008.
[20] P.E. Fishback. Taylor series are limits of legendre expansions. *Missouri Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 19:29–34, 2007.
[21] R.F. Boisvert C.W. Clark F.W. Olver, D.W. Lozier. *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
[22] W. Gautschi. Computational aspects of three-term recurrence relations. *SIAM review 9*, 27, 1967.
[23] Espen Gaarder Haug. *The Complete Guide to Option Pricing Formulas*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 2007.
[24] S.L Heston. A closed-form solution for options with stochastic volatility with applications to bond and currency options. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 6:327343, 1993.
[25] J.M. Hollas. *Modern Spectroscopy*. John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, 1992.
[26] E. Hernndez J. Prez-Quiles I. A. Selezneva, Yu. L. Ratis and P. Fernndez de Crdoba. A code to calculate high order legendre polynomials and functions. *Rev. Acad. colomb. Cienc*, 37(145):541–544, 2013.
[27] C. Ibsen and R. Almeida. Affine processes, arbitrage-free term structure of legendre polynomial, and option pricing. *International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance*, 08:161–184, 2005.
[28] J.D Jackson. *Classical Electrodynamics*. John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1962.
[29] I. Karatzas and S.s Shreve. *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*. Springer, 1997.
[30] A. D. KLEMM and S.Y. LARSEN. Some integrals involving legendre polynomials providing combinatorial identities. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B*, 32:304–310, 1990.
[31] S. G. Kou. A jump-diffusion model for option pricing. *Journal Management Science*, 48:1086–1101, 2002.
[32] M. Krej. Bessel functions. *Project for the Penn State - Gttingen Summer School on Number Theo.*
[33] N.N Lebedev. *Special Functions and Their Applications*. New York: Dover Publications, 1972.
[34] R. Lord and C. Kahl. Complex logarithms in heston-like models. *Mathematical Finance*, 20:671694, 2010.
[35] R.C. Merton. Option pricing when underlying stock returns are discontinuous. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3:125–144, 1976.
[36] F.W.J Olver. Numerical solution of second-order linear difference equations. *Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards*, 71B, 1967.
[37] P.Carr and D.B Madan. Option valuation using the fast fourier transform. *J. Comput. Finance*, 2:6173, 1999.
[38] A. Pellser. *Efficient Methods for Valuing Interest Rate Derivatives*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2000.
[39] R. Pulch and C.Emmerich. Polynomial chaos for simulating random volatilities. *Journal Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, 80:245–255, 2009.
[40] A.M. Duarte R. Almeida and C.A.C. Fernandes. Decomposing and simulating the movements of term structures of interest rates in emerging eurobond markets. *Journal of Fixed Income*, 1:21–31, 1998.
[41] F. Fang R. Lord and F. Bervoets. A fast and accurate fft-based method for pricing early-exercise options under levy processes. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput*, 30:16781705, 2008.
[42] G.P Tolstov. *Fourier Series (translated by R. A. Silverman)*. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1962.
[43] H. Wand and S. Xiang. On the convergence rates of legendre approximation. *Mathematic of Computation*, 81(278), 2012.

Credit Agricole CIB, Broadwalk House, 5 Appold St, London EC2A 2DA, United Kingdom
E-mail address: julien.hok@ca-cib.com